



IPv4 Unallocated Address Space Exhaustion

Geoff Huston
Chief Scientist
APNIC

November 2007



The End of the ^{IPv4} World




Current Status of IPv4

- Lets look at some charts showing the current status of IPv4 address space and recent address consumption rates


Current Status of IPv4

IPv4 Address Pool Status




Current Status of IPv4

IPv4 Address Pool Status




IANA to RIRs




RIR Allocations & Assignments


Time Series of RIR Address Assignments




Advertised and Unadvertised Addresses




Predictive Model




The IPv4 Consumption Model



The IPv4 Consumption Model



The IPv4 Consumption Model





So what?

In this model, IANA allocates its last IPv4 /8 to an RIR on the 22nd April 2010

This is the model's predicted exhaustion date as of the 6th August 2007. Tomorrow's prediction will be different!



IPv4 Consumption Prediction

- Assumptions
 - Tomorrow is a lot like today
 - Trends visible in the recent past continue into the future
- This model assumes that there will be no panic, no change in policies, no change in the underlying demand dynamics, no disruptive externalities, no rationing, and no withholding or hoarding!
 - No, really!



What then?

- Some possible scenarios:
 - Persist in IPv4 networks using more NATs
 - Address markets emerging for IPv4
 - Routing fragmentation
 - IPv6 transition



NAT Futures

- NATs represent just more of the same thing we do today
 - NATs are already extensively deployed today
 - More intense use of NATs does not alter the network's current architectural model
- How far can NATs scale?
 - Not well known
 - What are the critical resources here?
 - Private address pools
 - NAT binding capacity
 - Private address pool sizes
 - Application complexity



The Other Option


- Transition to IPv6
 - But IPv6 is not backward compatible with IPv4 on the wire
 - So the plan is that we need to run some form of a “dual stack” transition process
 - Every host needs to run IPv4 AND IPv6
 - Every SP network needs to support IPv4 AND IPv6



Dual Stack Assumptions

- That we could drive the entire transition to IPv6 while there were still ample IPv4 addresses to sustain the entire network and its growth
- Transition would take some (optimistically) small number of years to complete
- Transition would be driven by individual local decisions to deploy dual stack support
- The *entire* transition would complete *before* the IPv4 unallocated pool was exhausted

We had a plan ...






Oops!

- We were meant to have completed the transition to IPv6 BEFORE we completely exhausted the supply channels of IPv4 addresses

What's the revised plan?





Implications

- Whether its just IPv4 NATs OR transition to IPv6 ...
 - IPv4 addresses will continue to be in demand beyond the date of exhaustion of the unallocated pool
 - In the transition environment, all new and expanding network deployments will need IPv4 service access and addresses for as long as we are in this dual track transition
 - But the process is no longer directly managed through address allocation policies
 - that IPv4 address pool in the sky will run out!
 - the mechanisms of management of the IPv4 address distribution and registration function will necessarily change



Making IPv4 Last Longer

- Some ideas so far:
 - Encourage NAT deployment
 - Larger Private Use Address Pool
 - Policies of rationing the remaining IPv4 space
 - Undertake efforts of IPv4 Reclamation
 - Deregulate Address Transfers
 - Actively Support Address Markets
- and/or
 - Encourage an accelerated IPv6 Transition process



Making IPv4 Last Longer


- For how long?
- For what total address demand?
- For what level of fairness of access?
- At what cost?
- For whom?
- To what end?



What should we preserve?

- The functionality and integrity of the Internet as a service platform
 - Functionality of applications
 - Viability of routing
 - Capability to sustain continued growth
 - Integrity of the network infrastructure

What could be useful right now ...

- 
- Clear and coherent information about the situation and current choices
 - Understanding of the implications of various options
 - Appreciation of our limitations and strengths as a global deregulated industry attempting to preserve a single coherent networked outcome
 - Understanding of the larger audience and the broader context in which these processes are playing out
 - Some pragmatic workable approaches that allow a suitable degree of choice for players



Implications

It is likely that there will be some disruptive aspects of this transition that will impact the entire global Internet industry

This will probably not be seamless nor costless