Security

Geoff Huston Chief Scientist, APNIC



Insecurity

Geoff Huston Chief Scientist, APNIC



Which Bank?



Which Bank? My Bank!



Which Bank? My Bank!





Security on the Internet

How do you know that you are really going to where you thought you were going to?



Security on the Internet

How do you know that you are really going to where you thought you were going to?



Opening the Connection: First Steps







\$ dig -x 23.214.88.32 +short
a23-214-88-32.deploy.static.akamaitechnologies.com.



\$ dig -x 23.214.88.32 +short
a23-214-88-32.deploy.static.akamaitechnologies.com.

That's **not** an IP addresses that was allocated to the Commonwealth Bank!

The Commonwealth Bank of Australia has the address blocks 140.168.0.0 - 140.168.255.255 and 203.17.185.0 - 203.17.185.255



\$ dig -x 23.214.88.32 +short
a23-214-88-32.deploy.static.akamaitechnologies.com.
That's an Akamai IP address

And I'm NOT a customer of the Internet Bank of Akamai!

Why should my browser trust that 23.214.88.32 is really the authentic web site for the Commonwealth Bank of Australia, and not some dastardly evil scam designed to steal my passwords and my money?

And why should I trust my browser?

The major question ...

How does my browser tell the difference between an intended truth and a dastardly lie?

Security on the Internet

Also, how can you keep your session a secret from wire(less) snoopers?



It's all about cryptography



Public Key Cryptography

Pick a **pair** of keys such that:

- Messages encoded with one key can only be decoded with the other key
- Knowledge of the value of one key does not infer the value of the other key
- Make one key public, and keep the other a closely guarded private secret



The Power of Primes

$(m^e)^d \equiv m \pmod{n}$

As long as *d* and *n* are relatively large, and *n* is the product of two large prime numbers, then finding the value of *d* when you already know the values of *e* and *n* is computationally expensive

Why is this important?

Because much of the foundation of internet security rests upon this prime number relationship

Because prime number factorization still involves enumeration

And cryptography is still about getting the defender to perform just enough work to make the attacker's task so much greater that its infeasible

Back to Public/Private Key Pairs

- If I have a copy of your PUBLIC key,
- And you encrypt a message with your PRIVATE key,
- Then I can decrypt the message.
- And I know it was you that sent it.
- And you can't deny it.

Public Key Certificates

But how do I know this is YOUR public key?

- And not the public key of some dastardly evil agent pretending to be you?
- I don't know you
- I've never met you
- So I have absolutely no clue if this public key value is yours or not!

Public Key Certificates

What if I 'trust' an intermediary?

- Who has contacted you and validated your identity and conducted a 'proof of possession' test that you have control of a private key that matches your public key
- Then if the intermediary signs an attestation that this is your public key (with their private key) then I would be able to trust this public key
- This 'attestation' takes the form of a "public key certificate"

Public Key Certificates

- If the intermediary signs an attestation that this is a public key (with their private key) then
 - I trust this intermediary
 - And this intermediary has said that this is your public key
 - Then I can trust that this is your public key
- This 'attestation' takes the form of a "public key certificate"



Safari is using an encrypted connection to www.commbank.com.au.

Encryption with a digital certificate keeps information private as it's sent to or from the https website www.commbank.com.au.

DigiCert Inc has identified www.commbank.com.au as being owned by Commonwealth Bank of Australia in SYDNEY, New South Wales, AU.

DigiCert High Assurance EV Root CA

- → 📴 DigiCert SHA2 Extended Validation Server CA

www.commbank.com.au



Issued by: DigiCert SHA2 Extended Validation Server CA Expires: Saturday, 23 July 2022 at 10:00:00 pm Australian Eastern Standard Time This certificate is valid



Details

Subject Name	
Business Category	Private Organization
Inc. Country/Region	AU
Serial Number	123 123 124
Country or Region	AU
State/Province	New South Wales
Locality	SYDNEY
Organisation	Commonwealth Bank of Australia
Crganisational Unit	CBA Business System Hosting
Common Name	www.commbank.com.au
Issuer Name	

Kau Cine 2049 hite

Country or Region	US
Organisation	DigiCert Inc
Organisational Unit	www.digicert.com
Common Name	DigiCert SHA2 Extended Validation Server CA
Serial Number	03 1A 62 D5 68 8B 27 9F 00 80 A9 D3 98 4F 41 66
Version	3
Signature Algorithm	SHA-256 with RSA Encryption (1.2.840.113549.1.1.11)
Parameters	None
Not Valid Before	Thursday, 25 June 2020 at 10:00:00 am Australian Eastern Standard Time
Not Valid After	Saturday, 23 July 2022 at 10:00:00 pm Australian Eastern Standard Time
Bucklin March	
Public Key Info	
Algorithm	RSA Encryption (1.2.840.113549.1.1.1)
Parameters	None
Public Key	256 bytes : C5 48 B6 8B 2D 3F 67 3C
Exponent	65537

ić, ю

	sing an encrypted connection to www.potaroo.net. with a digital certificate keeps information private as it's sent to or from the https website www.potaroo.net.
DST Root CA X3	
Let's Encrypt Author	ity X3
🛏 📴 potaroo.net	
Expires: Sati	et's Encrypt Authority X3 urday, 19 September 2020 at 12:43:45 pm Australian Eastern Standard Time ficate is valid
Trust	
Details	
Subject Name	
Common Name	potaroo.net
Issuer Name	
Country or Region	US
Organisation	Let's Encrypt
Common Name	Let's Encrypt Authority X3
Serial Number	
Version	3 IL Same
Signature Algorithm	SHA-256 with SA Encry OCC BACHISSASIIII) LO Q SCT (LUC
Parameters	1. (: cates " 1 Ley to
1 all cer	THE PUBLIC FILE Identit
10	binds i linn to the
ortificati	LICSTATION S PHAUSTANAN Eastern Standard Time
Public Kaulinfo	ing arrest
withows	TSA Ency ation (1.2.840.113549.1.1.1)
Parameth	Jlaci
NOM Fablic Key	US Let's Encrypt Let's Encrypt Authority X3 04 DO 18 DF ED 7A F7 3F 8D DA 29 45 76 64 A1 E9 E9 04 C - This AHA 256 with 154 Scrypt Art C 8 ad 100 11 11 to a set of names 3 SHA 256 with 154 Scrypt Art C 8 ad 100 11 11 to a set of names 4 History art C 8 ad 100 11 to a set of her Attrice and 100 10 to a set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of the set of the set of the set of the author of the set of
Exponent	65537
	2,048 bits
Key Usage	Encrypt, Verify, Wrap, Derive
Signature	256 bytes : 65 94 89 F8 3A 04 C2 53
Extension	Key Usage (2.5.29.15)
Critical	YES
lleago	Digital Signature, Key Encipherment





This web site's certificate says *nothing* about the entity that holds the public key associated with this domain



• Ok, so the certificate system is a total mess, but TLS still works, right?

Secure Connections using TLS



Secure Connections using TLS



Secure Connections using TLS





Secure Connections using TLS



https://rhsecurity.wordpress.com/tag/tls/



Domain Name Certification

- The Commonwealth Bank of Australia has generated a key pair
- And they passed a certificate signing request to a company called "DigiCert Inc" in the US
- Who was willing to vouch (in a certificate) that the entity is called the Commonwealth Bank of Australia and they have control of the the domain name <u>www.commbank.com.au</u> and they have a certain public key
- So if I can associate this public key with a connection then I have a high degree of confidence that I've connected to an entity that is able to demonstrate knowledge of the private key for <u>www.commbank.com.au</u>, as long as I am prepared to trust DigiCert and the certificates that they issue
- And I am prepared to trust them because DigiCert NEVER lie!

Domain Name Certification

- The Commonwealth Bank of Australia has generated a key pair
- And they passed a certificate signing request to a company called "DigiCert Inc" in the US
- Who was willing to vouch (in a certificate) that the entity is called the Commonwealth Bank of Australia and they have control of the the domain name <u>www.commbank.com.au</u> and they have a certain public key
- So if I can associate this public key with a connection then I have a high degree of confidence that I've connected to an entity that is able to demonstrate knowledge of the private key for <u>www.commbank.com.au</u>, as long as I am prepared to trust DigiCert and the certificates that they issue
- And I am prepared to trust them because DigiCert NEVER lie!

How do i know that? Why should i trust them?

Local Trust

• • • + (i)			C	λ Search
Keychains	DigiCert High Assurance EV Root CA Root certificate authority			
login Directory Services iCloud	Certificate Root certificate authority Expires: Monday, 10 November 2031 at 11:0 This certificate is valid	00:00 am Australian Eastern Daylight Ti	ime	
Generation System				
System Roots				
C oyston nooto	Name	^ Kind	Expires	Keychain
	🔛 CA Disig Root R1	certificate	19 Jul 2042 at 7:06:56 pm	System Roots
	E CA Disig Root R2	certificate	19 Jul 2042 at 7:15:30 pm	System Roots
	🔛 Certigna	certificate	30 Jun 2027 at 1:13:05 am	System Roots
	🔛 Certinomis - Autorité Racine	certificate	17 Sep 2028 at 6:28:59 pm	System Roots
	🔛 Certinomis - Root CA	certificate	21 Oct 2033 at 8:17:18 pm	System Roots
	🔛 Certplus Root CA G1	certificate	15 Jan 2038 at 11:00:00 am	System Roots
	🔛 Certplus Root CA G2	certificate	15 Jan 2038 at 11:00:00 am	System Roots
	certSIGN ROOT CA	certificate	5 Jul 2031 at 3:20:04 am	System Roots
	🔛 Certum CA	certificate	11 Jun 2027 at 8:46:39 pm	System Roots
	📰 Certum Trusted Network CA	certificate	31 Dec 2029 at 11:07:37 pm	System Roots
	Certum Trusted Network CA 2	certificate	6 Oct 2046 at 6:39:56 pm	System Roots
	CFCA EV ROOT	certificate	31 Dec 2029 at 2:07:01 pm	System Roots
	Chambers of Commerce Root	certificate	1 Oct 2037 at 2:13:44 am	System Roots
	Chambers of Commerce Root - 2008	certificate	31 Jul 2038 at 10:29:50 pm	System Roots
	🖾 Cisco Root CA 2048	certificate	15 May 2029 at 6:25:42 am	System Roots
	COMODO Certification Authority	certificate	1 Jan 2030 at 10:59:59 am	System Roots
	COMODO ECC Certification Authority	certificate	19 Jan 2038 at 10:59:59 am	System Roots
	COMODO RSA Certification Authority	certificate	19 Jan 2038 at 10:59:59 am	System Roots
	🖂 ComSign CA	certificate	20 Mar 2029 at 2:02:18 am	System Roots
Category	🔛 ComSign Global Root CA	certificate	16 Jul 2036 at 8:24:55 pm	System Roots
All Items	🖂 ComSign Secured CA	certificate	17 Mar 2029 at 2:04:56 am	System Roots
A. Passwords	D-TRUST Root CA 3 2013	certificate	20 Sep 2028 at 6:25:51 pm	System Roots
Secure Notes	D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 2009	certificate	5 Nov 2029 at 7:35:58 pm	System Roots
	D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV 2009	certificate	5 Nov 2029 at 7:50:46 pm	System Roots
My Certificates	Developer ID Certification Authority	certificate	2 Feb 2027 at 9:12:15 am	System Roots
% Keys	DigiCert Assured ID Root CA	certificate	10 Nov 2031 at 11:00:00 am	System Roots
🔤 Certificates	DigiCert Assured ID Root G2	certificate	15 Jan 2038 at 11:00:00 pm	System Roots
	DigiCert Assured ID Root G3	certificate	15 Jan 2038 at 11:00:00 pm	System Roots
	DigiCert Global Root CA	certificate	10 Nov 2031 at 11:00:00 am	System Roots
	DigiCert Global Root G2	oostificato	15 Jap 2038 at 11:00:00 pm	System Roots
> ·	Signeent Chaba Roor os	certificate	15 Jan 2038 at 11:00:00 pm	Systemments
	DigiCert High Assurance EV Root CA	certificate	10 Nov 2031 at 11:00:00 am	System Roots
	Bi Digicare husied Root 01	contificate	15 Jan 2000 at 11:00:00 pm	System Roots
	DST Root CA X3	certificate	1 Oct 2021 at 12:01:15 am	System Roots
	DST Root CA X4	certificate	13 Sep 2020 at 4:22:50 pm	System Roots
	E-Tugra Certification Authority	certificate	3 Mar 2023 at 11:09:48 pm	System Roots
	Echoworx Root CA2	certificate	7 Oct 2030 at 9:49:13 pm	System Roots
	EE Certification Centre Root CA	certificate	18 Dec 2030 at 10:59:59 am	System Roots
	Entrust Root Certification Authority	certificate	28 Nov 2026 at 7:53:42 am	System Roots
	Entrust Root Certification Authority - EC1	certificate	19 Dec 2037 at 2:55:36 am	System Roots
	Entrust Root Certification Authority - G2	certificate	8 Dec 2030 at 4:55:54 am	System Roots
	Entrust.net Certification Authority (2048)	certificate	25 Jul 2029 at 12:15:12 am	System Roots
	ePKI Root Certification Authority	certificate	20 Dec 2034 at 1:31:27 pm	System Roots
	GDCA TrustAUTH R5 ROOT	certificate	1 Jan 2041 at 2:59:59 am	System Roots
		Continuate		oystann noota

The cert i'm being asked to trust was issued by a certification authority that my browser already trusts so i trust that cert!

Local Trust

These Certificate Authorities are listed in my computer's trust set because they claim to operate according to the practices defined by the CAB industry forum (of which they are a member) and they **never** lie!


Local Trust

These Certificate Authorities are listed in my computer's trust set because they claim to operate according to the practices defined by the CAB industry forum (of which they are a member) and they **never** lie!



Local Trust or Local Credulity*?

Wow!

Are they **all** trustable?

* cre·du·li·ty

/krə'd(y)oolədē/

noun

a tendency to be too ready to believe that something is real or true.

Certificate Name	Security Device	E.
certSIGN ROOT CA	Builtin Object Token	
China Financial Certification Authority		
CFCA EV ROOT	Builtin Object Token	
China Internet Network Information Center		
China Internet Network Information Center EV Certificates Root	Builtin Object Token	
Chunghwa Telecom Co., Ltd.		
ePKI Root Certification Authority	Builtin Object Token	
<pre>r CNNIC</pre>		
CNNIC ROOT	Builtin Object Token	
COMODO CA Limited		
COMODO ECC Certification Authority	Builtin Object Token	
COMODO Certification Authority	Builtin Object Token	
COMODO RSA Certification Authority	Builtin Object Token	
AAA Certificate Services	Builtin Object Token	
Secure Certificate Services	Builtin Object Token	
Trusted Certificate Services	Builtin Object Token	
COMODO ECC Domain Validation Secure Server CA 2	Software Security Device	
COMODO RSA Domain Validation Secure Server CA	Software Security Device	
COMODO High Assurance Secure Server CA	Software Security Device	
ComSign		
ComSign CA	Builtin Object Token	
ComSign Secured CA	Builtin Object Token	
Cybertrust, Inc		
Cybertrust Global Root	Builtin Object Token	
D-Trust GmbH		
D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV 2009	Builtin Object Token	
D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 2009	Builtin Object Token	
Dell Inc.		
iDRAC6 default certificate	Software Security Device	
Deutsche Telekom AG		
Deutsche Telekom Root CA 2	Builtin Object Token	
Deutscher Sparkassen Verlag GmbH		
S-TRUST Authentication and Encryption Root CA 2005:PN	Builtin Object Token	
S-TRUST Universal Root CA	Builtin Object Token	
Dhimyotis		
Certigna	Builtin Object Token	
DigiCert Inc		
DigiCert Trusted Root G4	Builtin Object Token	
DigiCert Global Root CA	Builtin Object Token	
DigiCert Assured ID Root G3	Builtin Object Token	

Your Certificates People Servers Authorities Others

View... Edit Trust... Import... Export... Delete or Distrust...

Local Credulity

		Your Certificates People	Servers Authorities Others	
	You have certificates on file that	identify these certificate authorities:		
	Certificate Name	identity these certificate autionities.	Security Device	
	certSIGN ROOT CA		Builtin Object Token	
	China Financial Certification	Authority		
	CFCA EV ROOT		Builtin Object Token	
	China Internet Network Info	r vation Center		
		Information Center EV Certificates Root	Ruiltin Object Token	
	Chunghwa Telecon			
	ePKI Kovi Certif		A A O = Googleonlinesecurity.blogspot.com.au/20	15/03/mainta
		•	Google Online Security Blog: Maintaining digital certificate set	curity
trustable?			boogle on the becancy blog. Maintaining digital certificate set	ouncy
	COMODO CA Limit			
	COMODO ECC (
	COMODO Certif			
No.	COMODO RSA C			
	AAA Certificate	Maintaining digita	certificate security	
	Secure Certifica	0 0		
Evidently Not!	Trusted Certific			
E.	COMODO ECC I	Posted: Monday, March 23, 2015	G+1 106 💙	f
	COMODO RSA E	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
	COMODO High			
	ComSign	Posted by Adam Langley, Seculit	v Engineer	
	ComSign CA	Posted by Adam Langley, Securit	y Engineer	
	ComSign Secure	0.511.00		
	 Cybertrust, Inc 		ne aware of unauthorized digital certificates for several Google domains. The	e
	Cybertrust Glob		ermediate certificate authority apparently held by a company called MCS	
	D-Trust GmbH	Holdings. This intermediate ce tif	cate was issued by CNNIC.	
	D-TRUST Root (
	D-TRUST Root (CNNIC is included in all major roo	ot stores and so the misissued certificates would be trusted by almost all	(
	Dell Inc.	browsers and operating systems.	Chrome on Windows, OS X, and Linux, ChromeOS, and Firefox 33 and gree	eater
	iDRAC6 default	would have rejected these certific	ates because of public-key pinning, although misissued certificates for othe	r sites
	Deutsche Telekom	likely exist.		
	Deutsche Telek			
	Deutscher Sparkas:	We promptly alerted CNNIC and	other major browsers about the incident, and we blocked the MCS Holdings	4
	S-TRUST Auther		Set push. CNNIC responded on the 22nd to explain that they had contracted	
	S-TRUST Univer		ICS would only issue certificates for domains that they had registered. How	
	Dhimyotis		n a suitable HSM, MCS installed it in a man-in-the-middle proxy. These devi	
	Certigna			
	DigiCert Inc		nasquerading as the intended destination and are sometimes used by comp	
	DigiCert Truste		ire traffic for monitoring or legal reasons. The employees' computers normal	
	DigiCert Global	•	roxy for it to be able to do this. However, in this case, the presumed proxy w	
	DigiCert Assure		CA, which is a serious breach of the CA system. This situation is similar to a	а
	View Ed	failure by ANSSI in 2013.		

Wow!

Are they all trustable?

Local Credulity



Are they all trustable?

	You have certificates on file that identify t	Security Device	Ę
	certSIGN ROOT CA	Builtin Object Token	
	China Financial Certification Authority	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	CFCA EV ROOT	Ruiltin Ohiert Token	
	 China Internet Network Informatic 	🔴 🔴 🔇 🔪 🔟 🔹 🟦 🗛 🕐 🚍 www.infoworld.com/article/2623707/hacking	/the-real-sc C (i)
	China Internet Network Inform	The real security issue behind the Comodo hack InfoWork	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
	Chunghwa Telecom Co., Ltd.		
	ePKI Root Certification Authori		TORRENS
	CANALC	Get connected, globally ENROL NOW	
table?	CNNIC ROOT	CRICOS Provider Number: 03886	
	 COMODO CA Limited 		
	COMODO ECC Certification Aut	App Dev • Cloud • Data Center • Mobile • Open Source • Security • Deep Dives	 Reviews Resources/White Papers
	COMODO Cerancation Authori		
	COMODO RSA Certification Aut	InfoWorld Most Popular:	▼ 0000000
	AAA Certificate Services		
1 CV	Secure Certificate Services		
VIAC	Trusted Certificate Services	Home > Security > Hadking	
vidently Not	COMODO ECC Domain Validati		
	COMODO RSA Domain Validati		
	COMODO High Assurance Secu	By Roger A. Grimes Follow	
	ComSign		
	ComSign CA		
	ComSign Secured CA		
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc	The real security issue behind the	Comodo hack
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root	The real security issue behind the	Comodo hack
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root D-Trust GmbH		Comodo hack
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root D-Trust GmbH D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV	The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more	MORE LIKE THIS
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root D-Trust GmbH D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 2(The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more troubling is the publics ignorance over PKI and	
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root D-Trust GmbH D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 2(D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 2(C	The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more	MORE LIKE THIS Weaknesses in SSL certification exposed
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root D-Trust GmbH D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 2(Dell Inc. iDRAC6 default certificate	The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more troubling is the publics ignorance over PKI and	MORE LIKE THIS Weaknesses in SSL certification exposed by Comodo security breach Hackers target Google, Skype with rogue
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root D-Trust GmbH D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 Ev D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 2 C C Dell Inc. iDRAC6 default certificate Deutsche Telekom AG	The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more troubling is the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates	MORE LIKE THIS Weaknesses in SSL certification exposed by Comodo security breach
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root D-Trust GmbH D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 2C Dell Inc. iDRAC6 default certificate Deutsche Telekom AG Deutsche Telekom Root CA 2	The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more troubling is the publics ignorance over PKI and	MORE LIKE THIS Weaknesses in SSL certification exposed by Comodo security breach Hackers target Google, Skype with rogue SSL certificates
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root D-Trust GmbH D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 2C Cell Inc. DRAC6 default certificate Deutsche Telekom AG Deutsche Telekom Root CA 2 Cell Deutscher Sparkassen Verlag Gmt	The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more troubling is the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates	MORE LIKE THIS Weaknesses in SSL certification exposed by Comodo security breach Hackers target Google, Skype with rogue
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root D-Trust GmbH D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 2(Dell Inc. iDRAC6 default certificate Deutsche Telekom AG Deutscher Telekom Root CA 2 Deutscher Sparkassen Verlag Gmt S-TRUST Authentication and Ei	The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more troubling is the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates	MORE LIKE THIS Weaknesses in SSL certification exposed by Comodo security breach Hackers target Google, Skype with rogue SSL certificates Revoke certificates when you need to
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root D-Trust GmbH D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 2(C Dell Inc. iDRAC6 default certificate Deutsche Telekom AG Deutscher Telekom Root CA 2 Deutscher Sparkassen Verlag Gmt S-TRUST Juthentication and Ei S-TRUST Universal Root CA	The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more troubling is the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates	MORE LIKE THIS Weaknesses in SSL certification exposed by Comodo security breach Hackers target Google, Skype with rogue SSL certificates Revoke certificates when you need to the right way
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root D-Trust Global Root D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 2C Dell Inc. iDRAC6 default certificate Deutsche Telekom AG Deutsche Telekom Root CA 2 Deutscher Sparkassen Verlag Gmt S-TRUST Authentication and Ei S-TRUST Universal Root CA Dhimyotis	The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more troubling is the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates	MORE LIKE THIS Weaknesses in SSL certification exposed by Comodo security breach Hackers target Google, Skype with rogue SSL certificates Revoke certificates when you need to the right way on IDG Answers ♪ I'm considering a slight career change to
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root Cybertrust Global Root Cybertrust Global Root Cybertrust Global Root Chrust Root Class 3 CA 2 Ev D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 Ev D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 ZC Combined Combin	The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more troubling is the publics ignorance over PKI and digital certificates	MORE LIKE THIS Weaknesses in SSL certification exposed by Comodo security breach Hackers target Google, Skype with rogue SSL certificates Revoke certificates when you need to the right way
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root D-Trust GmbH D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 2C CHERNER CONTRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 2C Dell Inc. iDRAC6 default certificate Deutsche Telekom AG Deutsche Telekom Root CA 2 DeutsCH Sparkassen Verlag Gmt S-TRUST Authentication and EI S-TRUST Universal Root CA Dhimyotis Certigna DigiCert Inc	The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more troubling is the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates	MORE LIKE THIS Weaknesses in SSL certification exposed by Comodo security breach Hackers target Google, Skype with rogue SSL certificates Revoke certificates when you need to the right way on IDG Answers ♪ I'm considering a slight career change to
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root Cybertrust Global Root D-TrutS GmbH D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 2C Dell Inc. iDRAC6 default certificate Deutsche Telekom AG Deutsche Telekom Root CA 2 Deutscher Sparkassen Verlag Gmt S-TRUST Authentication and Ei S-TRUST Authentication and Ei C-TRUST Authentication and Ei DigiCert Inc DigiCert Trusted Root G4	The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more troubling is the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates Image: Comparison of the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates Image: Comparison of the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates Image: Comparison of the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates Image: Comparison of the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certification authority Image: Comparison of the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certification authority Image: Comparison of the public's digital certification authority Image: Comparison of the public state over one or more unauthorized parties has caused an uproar in the IT community, moving some critics to call for Microsoft and display the public state over other public states over other public states over over over over the public states over over over over over over over over	MORE LIKE THIS Waknesses in SSL certification exposed y comodo security breach Hackers target Google, Skype with rogue SL certificates Mexoke certificates when you need to the right way OD CAnswer → The considering a slight career change to the security - what do I need to
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root Characteriticate Characteriticate Comparison of the comparison of the cybert	The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more troubling is the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates Image: Comparison of the public structure Image: Comparison of the public structure InfoWorld Apr 5, 2011 RELATED TOPIC: News of an Iranian hacker duping certification authority Comodo into issuing digital certificates to one or more unauthorized parties has caused an uproar in the IT	MORE LIKE THIS Weaknesses in SSL certification exposed by Comodo security breach Hackers target Google, Skype with rogue SSL certificates Revoke certificates when you need to the right way on IDG Answers ♪ I'm considering a slight career change to
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root D-Trust GmbH D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 ZC Dell Inc. iDRAC6 default certificate Deutsche Telekom AG Deutscher Telekom Root CA 2 Deutscher Sparkassen Verlag Gmt S-TRUST Authentication and EI S-TRUST Authentication and EI S-TRUST Universal Root CA Certigna DigiCert Inc DigiCert Inc DigiCert Clobal Root CA DigiCert Assured ID Root G3	The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more troubling is the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates Image: Comparison of the public structure Image: Comparison of the public structure Image: Comparison of the public structure Image: Comparison of the public structure Image: Comparison of the public structure Image: Comparison of the public structure Image: Comparison of the public structure Image: Comparison of the public structure Image: Comparison of the public structure Image: Comparison of the public structure Related Topic News of an Iranian hacker duping certification authority Mathematication News of an Iranian hacker duping certificates to one or more unauthorized parties has caused an uproar in the IT community, moving some critics to call for Microsoft and	MORE LIKE THIS Weaknesses in SSL certification exposed by Comodo security breach Hackers target Google, Skype with rogue SL certificates when you need to the right way OID CANSWER * The considering a slight career change to the security - what do I need to
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root Characteriticate Characteriticate Comparison of the comparison of the cybert	The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more troubling is the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates Image: Comparison of the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates Image: Comparison of the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates Image: Comparison of the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certification Image: Comparison of the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certification authority Image: Comparison of the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certification authority RELATED TOPIC Hacking Authentication Data Security Incorption Identity	MORE LIKE THIS Weaknesses in SSL certification exposed by Comodo security breach Hackers target Google, Skype with rogue SL certificates when you need to the right way ON DG Answers ↑ The considering a slight career change to the security - what do I need to
	ComSign Secured CA Cybertrust, Inc Cybertrust Global Root D-Trust GmbH D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 EV D-TRUST Root Class 3 CA 2 ZC Dell Inc. iDRAC6 default certificate Deutsche Telekom AG Deutscher Telekom Root CA 2 Deutscher Sparkassen Verlag Gmt S-TRUST Authentication and EI S-TRUST Authentication and EI S-TRUST Universal Root CA Certigna DigiCert Inc DigiCert Inc DigiCert Clobal Root CA DigiCert Assured ID Root G3	The Comodo hack has grabbed headlines, but more troubling is the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates Image: Comparison of the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates Image: Comparison of the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates Image: Comparison of the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates Image: Comparison of the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certificates Image: Comparison of the public's ignorance over PKI and digital certification authority Image: Comparison of the public's comparison of the pub	MORE LIKE THIS Weaknesses in SSL certification exposed by Comodo security breach Hackers target Google, Skype with rogue SSL certificates Revoke certificates when you need to the right way OID CANSWER * Im considering a slight career change to T security - what do I need to



Well, hardly ever



At least 108 Symantec certificates threatened the integrity of the encrypted Web.

DAN GOODIN - 1/21/2017, 8:40 AM



Enlarge



A security researcher has unearthed evidence showing that three browser-trusted certificate authorities (CAs) owned and operated by Symantec improperly issued more than 100 unvalidated transport layer security certificates. In some cases, those certificates made it possible to spoof HTTPS-protected websites.

http://arstechnica.com/security/2017/01 /already-on-probation-symantec-issuesmore-illegit-https-certificates/

Misissued/Suspicious Symantec Certificates

Andrew Ayer Thu, 19 Jan 2017 13:47:06 -0800

I. Misissued certificates for example.com

On 2016-07-14, Symantec misissued the following certificates for example.com:

https://crt.sh/? sha256=A8F14F52CC1282D7153A13316E7DA39E6AE37B1A10C16288B9024A9B9DC3C4C6

https://crt.sh/? sha256=8B5956C57FDCF720B6907A4B1BC8CA2E46CD90EAD5C061A426CF48A6117BFBFA

https://crt.sh/2 sha256=94482136A1400BC3A1136FECA3E79D4D200E03DD20B245D19F0E78B5679EAF48

https://crt.sh/? sha256=C69AB04C1B20E6FC7861C67476CADDA1DAE7A8DCF6E23E15311C2D2794BFCD11

I confirmed with ICANN, the owner of example.com, that they did not authorize these certificates. These certificates were already revoked at the time I found them.

II. Suspicious certificates for domains containing the word "test"

On 2016-11-15 and 2016-10-26, Symantec issued certificates for various domains containing the word "test" which I strongly suspect were misissued:

Well, hardly ever

🔴 🔴 🌒 🤇 🗧 🔒 security.googleblog.com/2018/03/distrust-of-symantec-pk

Google Security Blog

The latest news and insights from Google on security and safety on the Internet

Distrust of the Symantec PKI: Immediate action needed by site operators March 7, 2018

Posted by Devon O'Brien, Ryan Sleevi, Emily Stark, Chrome security team

We previously announced plans to deprecate Chrome's trust in the Symantec certificate authority (including Symantec-owned brands like Thawte, VeriSign, Equifax, GeoTrust, and RapidSSL). This post outlines how site operators can determine if they're affected by this deprecation, and if so, what needs to be done and by when. Failure to replace these certificates will result in site breakage in upcoming versions of major browsers, including Chrome.

Chrome 66

If your site is using a SSL/TLS certificate from Symantec that was issued before June 1, 2016, it will stop functioning in Chrome 66, which could already be impacting your users.

If you are uncertain about whether your site is using such a certificate, you can preview these changes in Chrome Canary to see if your site is affected. If connecting to your site displays a certificate error or a warning in DevTools as shown below, you'll need to replace your certificate. You can get a new certificate from any trusted CA, including Digicert, which recently acquired Symantec's CA business.

With unpleasant consequences when it all goes wrong

With unpleasant consequences when it all goes wrong





Suspicious event hijacks Amazon traffic for 2 hours, steals cryptocurrency

Almost 1,300 addresses for Amazon Route 53 rerouted for two hours.

DAN GOODIN - 4/25/2018, 5:00 AM

amazon.com®

Amazon

123

Y

Amazon lost control of a small number of its cloud services IP addresses for two hours on Tuesday morning when hackers exploited a known Internet-protocol weakness that let them to redirect traffic to rogue destinations. By subverting Amazon's domain-resolution service, the attackers masqueraded as cryptocurrency website MyEtherWallet.com and stole about \$150,000 in digital coins from unwitting end users. They may have targeted other Amazon customers as well.

The incident, which started around 6 AM California time, hijacked roughly 1,300 IP addresses, Oracle-owned Internet Intelligence said on Twitter. The malicious redirection was caused by fraudulent routes that were announced by Columbus, Ohio-based eNet, a large Internet service provider that is referred to as autonomous system 10297. Once in place, the eNet announcement caused Hurricane Electric and possibly Hurricane Electric customers and other eNet peers to send traffic over the same unauthorized routes. The 1,300 addresses belonged to Route 53, Amazon's domain name system service

The attackers managed to steal about \$150,000 of currency from MyEtherWallet users,

- The TLS handshake cannot specify WHICH CA should be used by the client to validate the digital certificate that describes the server's public key
- The result is that your browser will allow ANY CA to be used to validate a certificate!

- The TLS handshake cannot specify WHICH CA should be used by the client to validate the digital certificate that describes the server's public key
- The result is that your browser will allow ANY CA to be used to validate a certificate!
 NOW! That's aresonely bad!

The TLS handshake cannot specify WHICH CA

sh

di

pu

CA

• Th

Here's a lock - it might be the lock on your front door for all i S know.

The lock might LOOK secure, but don't worry - literally ANY key can open it! NY

validate a certificate! WOW! That's a we somely bad!

- There is no incentive for quality in the CA marketplace
- Why pay more for any certificate when the entire CA structure is only as strong as the weakest CA
- And your browser trusts a LOT of CAs!
 - About 60 100 CA's
 - About 1,500 Subordinate RA's
 - Operated by 650 different organisations

See the EFF SSL observatory http://www.eff.org/files/DefconSSLiverse.pdf

In a commercial environment

Where CA's compete with each other for market share

And quality offers no protection

Then what 'wins' in the market?

Sustainable Resilient Secure Privacy Trusted

In a commercial environment

Where CA's compete with each other for market share

And quality offers no protection

Then what 'wins' in the market?



But its all OK

Really.

- Because 'bad' certificates can be revoked
- And browsers always check revocation status of certificates



Ok - Not Always. Some do. Sometimes.

Platform	Chrome	Firefox	Opera	Safari	Edge
Mac OS X	YES	YES	YES	YES	
10.15.3	80.0.3987.132	73.0.1	67.0.3575.53	13.0.5	
iOS	YES	YES	NO	YES	
13.3.1	80.0.3987.95	23.0	16.0.15	13.3.1	
Android	NO	NO	NO		
10	80.0.3987.132	68.6.0	56.1		
Windows	NO	YES	NO		YES
10	80.0.3987.132	74.0	67		44.183

Table 1 – Browser Revocation Status

https://www.potaroo.net/ispcol/2020-03/revocation.html

Option A: Take all the money out of the system!



Option A: Take all the money out of the system!

LINUX FOUNDATION COLLABORATIVE PROJECTS Let's Encrypt Documentation Get Help About Us -Donate -Will the automation of the Cert issuance coupled with a totally Greet service make the overall environment more or less open i think we already know the answer! secure?

Option B: White Listing and Pinning with HSTS

https://code.google.com/p/chromium/codesearch#chromium/src/net/http/ transport_security_state_static.json

transport_security_state_static.json Layers - Find -1 // Copyright (c) 2012 The Chromium Authors. All rights reserved. 2 // Use of this source code is governed by a BSD-style license that can be 3 // found in the LICENSE file. 4 5 // This file contains the HSTS preloaded list in a machine readable format. 6 7 // The top-level element is a dictionary with two keys: "pinsets" maps details 8 // of certificate pinning to a name and "entries" contains the HSTS details for 9 // each host. 10 // 11 // "pinsets" is a list of objects. Each object has the following members: 12 // name: (string) the name of the pinset 13 // static spki hashes: (list of strings) the set of allowed SPKIs hashes 14 // bad static spki hashes: (optional list of strings) the set of forbidden 15 // SPKIs hashes 16 // report_uri: (optional string) the URI to send violation reports to; 17 // reports will be in the format defined in RFC 7469 18 // 19 // For a given pinset, a certificate is accepted if at least one of the 20 // "static spki hashes" SPKIs is found in the chain and none of the 21 // "bad static spki hashes" SPKIs are. SPKIs are specified as names, which must 22 // match up with the file of certificates. 23 11

Option B: White Listing and Pinning with HSTS

https://code good' totally insane idea -- until you realise transp its not a totally insane idea -- until you realise that it appears to be completely unscaleable! / http/ its just Google protecting itself and no one else 5 // This file contains the HSTS preloaded list in a machine readable format. 7 // The top-level element is a dictionary with two keys: "pinsets" maps details 8 // of certificate pinning to a name and "entries" contains the HSTS details for 9 // each host. 10 // 11 // "pinsets" is a list of objects. Each object has the following members: 12 // name: (string) the name of the pinset 13 // static spki hashes: (list of strings) the set of allowed SPKIs hashes 14 // bad static spki hashes: (optional list of strings) the set of forbidden 15 // SPKIs hashes 16 // report uri: (optional string) the URI to send violation reports to; 17 // reports will be in the format defined in RFC 7469 18 // 19 // For a given pinset, a certificate is accepted if at least one of the 20 // "static_spki_hashes" SPKIs is found in the chain and none of the 21 // "bad static spki hashes" SPKIs are. SPKIs are specified as names, which must 22 // match up with the file of certificates. 23 11





INFOWORLD TECH WATCH By Fahmida Y. Rashid, Senior Writer, InfoWorld | JAN 30, 2017 About |
h
Informed news analysis every weekday

Google moves into the Certificate Authority business

Google doesn't seem to trust the current system, as it has launched its own security certificates

17 // reports will be in the format defined in RFC 7469
18 //
19 // For a given pinset, a certificate is accepted if at least one of the
20 // "static_spki_hashes" SPKIs is found in the chain and none of the
21 // "bad_static_spki_hashes" SPKIs are. SPKIs are specified as names, which must
22 // match up with the file of certificates.
23 //

Option C: Certificate Transparency

≡ Google Transparency Report

Overview Certificates

HTTPS encryption on the web

Certificate transparency

In order to provide encrypted traffic to users, a site must first apply for a cartificate from a trusted Certificate Authority (CA). This certificate is then presented to the browser to authenticate the site the user is trying to access. In recent years, due to structural flaws in the HTTPS certificate system, certificates and issuing CAs have proven vulnerable to compromise and manipulation. Google's Certificate Transparency project aims to safeguard the certificate issuance process by providing an open framework for monitoring and auditing HTTPS certificates.

Use the search bar below to look up all of a domain's certificates that are present in active public certificate transparency logs. Site owners can search this site for domain names they control to ensure there have been no incorrect issuances of certificates referencing their domains.

Google encourages all CAs to write the certificates they issue to publicly verifiable, append-only, tamper-proof logs. In the future, Chrome and other browsers may decide not to accept certificates that have not been written to such logs.

As of May 6, 2020, there have been 9,178,649,266 entries made to the set of Certificate Transparency logs that Google monitors.

Learn more about the Certificate Transparency Project 🧔

Search certificates by hostname	
www.potaroo.net	۹
Include subdomains	

Current status:

Issuer	# issued	
C=US, O=Let's Encrypt, CN=Let's Encrypt Authority X3	36	Filter

Subject	Issuer	# DNS names	Valid from	Valid to	# CT logs	
*.potaroo.net	Let's Encrypt Authority X3	1	Mar 29, 2020	Jun 27, 2020	4	See details
www.potaroo.net	Let's Encrypt Authority X3	1	Oct 21, 2019	Jan 19, 2020	4	See details
www.potaroo.net	Let's Encrypt Authority X3	1	Aug 22, 2019	Nov 20, 2019	6	See details

Option C: Certificate Transparency

Overview Certificates

HTTPS encryption on the web

Certificate transparency

In order to provide encrypted traffic to users, a site must first apply for a certificate from a trusted Certificate Authority (CA). This certificate is then presented to the browser to authenticate the site hour set is fruing to access. In encerit years, due to structural flavins in the HTTRS certificate system, certificates and Issuing CAs have proven vulnerable to compromise and manipulation. Geogle's Certificate Transparency project aims to asfeguard the certificate issuence process by providing an operation framework for monitoring and auditing HTTRS certificates.

This is true

Use the search bar below to look up all of a domain's certificates that are present in active public certificate transperency logs. Site owners can search this site for domain names they control to ensure there have been no incorrect issuances of certificates referencing their domains. Google encourages all CAs to write the certificates they issue to publicly verifiable, append-only, tamper-proof logs. In the future, Chrome and other browsers may facied not to accept certificates that have not been write to such logs. As of May 6, 5500, there have been 9,178,649,266 entries made to the set of Certificate Transparency logs that Google monitors.

Learn more about the Certificate Transparency Project 📀

In order to provide encrypted traffic to users, a site must first apply for a certificate from a trusted Certificate Authority (CA). This certificate is then presented to the browser to authenticate the site the user is trying to access. In recent years, due to structural flaws in the HTTPS certificate system, certificates and issuing CAs have proven vulnerable to compromise and manipulation. Google's Certificate Transparency project aims to safeguard the certificate issuance process by

providing an open framework for monitoring and auditing HTTPS certificates.

Current status:			\backslash		
Issuer	# issued	This	۰د	~	fail
C=US, O=Let's Encrypt, CN=Let's Encrypt Authority X3	36 Filter	1 112	is	۹	1411

Subject	Issuer	# DNS names	Valid from	Valid to	# CT logs	
*.potaroo.net	Let's Encrypt Authority X3	1	Mar 29, 2020	Jun 27, 2020	4	See details
www.potaroo.net	Let's Encrypt Authority X3	1	Oct 21, 2019	Jan 19, 2020	4	See details
www.potaroo.net	Let's Encrypt Authority X3	1	Aug 22, 2019	Nov 20, 2019	6	See details

Option C: Certificate Transparency

≡ Google Transparency Report

www.potaroo.net Let's Encrypt Authority X3

Overview Certificates

HTTPS encryption on the web

its just so bre These transpo millisecond we	Certificate transp In order to provide encry trower to sutherable to moviding an open frame the the search topcaloo the the search top a search	barency prod traffic to users, a site must first a te site the user is trying to access. In o comporting and mainpilution. Goo work for monitoring and auditing HTTI to look up all of a domain's certificat to loo ensure there have been no incore alternation and a certificat on the same different team is the same training to a contract the certification of the same different team is the same training to contract teams are same to the same contract teams are same to the same contract teams are same to the same contract teams are same to the same teams are same contract teams are same teams are same t	pply for a certificate recent years, due to gie's Certificate Trans 95 certificates. es that are present in treot issuances of an to pO y Verifik U auch Rops de to the set of Certifi	from a trusted Certific structural flaws in the sparency project aim reflicates Arenoing append-only, tamper	ate Authority (CA). Thi HTTPS certificate syst to safeguard the certificate store of the safeguard the certification of the safeguard the future proof logs. In the future proof logs. In the future	s certificate is the tern, certificate a ficate issue co Site o O a can s o, Chrom C d ot s.	a presented to the kd issuing CAs boccess SQAM aarch this site for the to OOK	с \ 5 `v	week service in the first plan	, م <u>دو</u> ۱
millisecona	Search certificat	es by hostname						l a	placebo.	
V• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	www.potaroo.net					rsc	that			
	Include subdomains	· e 1	prob	ably		•				
These man we will second we Cert Transf	Content status:	icy is	V. T							
	Issuer					# issued				
	CEOS, CELER'S Entry	ot, CN=Let's Encrypt Authority X3				36	Filter			
	Subject	Issuer	# DNS names	Valid from	Valid to	# CT logs				
	".potaroo.net	Let's Encrypt Authority X3	1	Mar 29, 2020	Jun 27, 2020	4	See details			
	www.potaroo.net	Let's Encrypt Authority X3	1	Oct 21, 2019	Jan 19, 2020	4	See details			

1 Aug 22, 2019 Nov 20, 2019

6 See details

Option D: Use the DNS!



Seriously? The DNS?

Where better to find out the public key associated with a DNS-named service than to look it up in the DNS?

- Why not query the DNS for the HSTS record?
- Why not query the DNS for the issuer CA?
- Why not query the DNS for the hash of the domain name cert?
- Why not query the DNS for the hash of the domain name public key?

Seriously? The DNS?

Where better to find out the public key associated with a DNS-named service than to 1207 look it up in the DNS?

- Why not query the Association of the HSTS record? Why not construct on the issuer CA? Min arry the DNS for the hash of the hain name cert?

Why not query the DNS for the hash of the domain name public key?



• Using the DNS to associated domain name public key certificates with domain name

[Docs] [txt pdf] [draft-ietf-dane-p] [Dif	f1] [<u>Diff2</u>] [Errata]
Updated by: <u>7218</u> , <u>7671</u>	PROPOSED STANDARD Errata Exist
Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request for Comments: 6698 Category: Standards Track ISSN: 2070-1721	P. Hoffman VPN Consortium J. Schlyter Kirei AB
ISSN: 2070-1721 The DNS-Based Authentication of Nam Transport Layer Security Abstract Encryption of 6000 one Internet of See AFF 66690 one Internet of See AFF 66690 on third pa use accument improves on that sit	ould read this.
Abstract Encryption of the second se	the keys used in that thing improvements in TLS
Chatus of Whis Name	

Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

TLS with DANE

- Client receives server cert in Server Hello
 - *Client lookups the DNS for the TLSA Resource Record of the domain name*
 - Client validates the presented certificate against the TLSA RR
- Client performs Client Key exchange

TLS Connections



Just one problem ...

- The DNS is full of liars and lies!
- And this can compromise the integrity of public key information embedded in the DNS
- Unless we fix the DNS we are no better off than before with these TLSA records!

Just one response ...

- We need to allow users to validate DNS responses for themselves
- And for this we need a Secure DNS framework
- Which we have and it's called **DNSSEC**!

DANE + DNSSEC

- Query the DNS for the TLSA record of the domain name and ask for the DNSSEC signature to be included in the response
- Validate the signature to ensure that you have an unbroken signature chain to the root trust point
- At this point you can accept the TLSA record as the authentic record, and set up a TLS session based on this data

DANE + DNSSEC

- Query the DNS for the TLSA record of the domain name and ask for the DNSSEC signature to be included in the response
- Validate the signature +an unbroke
 point
- At this point you can accept the TLSA record as the authentic record, and set up a TLS session based on this data

DANE + DNSSEC

ImperialViolet

DNSSEC authenticated HTTPS in Chrome (16 Jun 2011)

Update: this has been removed from Chrome due to lack of use.

DNSSEC validation of HTTPS sites has been <u>hanging around in Chrome</u> for nearly a year now. But it's now enabled by default in the current canary and dev channels of Chrome and is on schedule to go stable with Chrome 14. If you're running a canary or dev channel (and you need today's dev channel release: 14.0.794.0) then you can go to <u>https://dnssec.imperialviolet.org</u> and see a DNSSEC signed site in action.

https://dnssec.imperialviole: × https://dnssec.imperialviolet.org a D dnssec.imperialviolet.org a D Your connection to dnssec.imperialviolet.org is encrypted with 256-bit encryption. Site information You first visited this site on Jun 7, 2011. What do these mean?

DNSSEC stapled certificates (and the reason that I use that phrase will become clear in a minute) are aimed at sites that currently have, or would use, self-signed certificates and, possibly, larger organisations that are Chrome based and want certificates for internal sites without having to bother with installing a custom root CA on all the client devices. Suggesting that this heralds the end of the CA system would be utterly inaccurate. Given the deployed base of software, all non-trival sites will continue to use CA signed certificates for decades, at least. DNSSEC signing is just a gateway drug to better transport security.

DANE validation can be SO SLOW!



Faster validation?

[Docs] [txt pdf] [draft-ietf-dnso...] [Tracker] [Diff1] [Diff2]

EXPERIMENTAL

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request for Comments: 7901 Category: Experimental ISSN: 2070-1721 P. Wouters Red Hat June 2016

CHAIN Query Requests in DNS

Abstract

This document defines an EDNS0 extension that can be used by a security-aware validating resolver configured to use a forwarding resolver to send a single query, requesting a complete validation path along with the regular query answer. The reduction in queries potentially lowers the latency and reduces the need to send multiple queries at once. This extension mandates the use of source-IP-verified transport such as TCP or UDP with EDNS-COOKIE, so it cannot be abused in amplification attacks.

Status of This Memo

Or ... Look! No DNS!

- Server packages server cert, TLSA record and the DNSSEC credential chain in a single bundle
- Client receives bundle in Server Hello
 - Client performs validation of TLSA Resource Record using the supplied DNSEC signatures plus the local DNS Root Trust Anchor without performing any DNS queries
 - Client validates the presented certificate against the TLSA RR
- Client performs Client Key exchange

Doing a better job

We could do a **far** better job at Internet Security: Publishing DNSSEC-signed zones Publishing DANE TLSA records Using DNSSEC-validating resolution Using TLSA records to guide TLS Key Exchange Stapling the TLSA + sig bundle into TLS



Why is change so hard?

We have different goals

- Some people want to provide strong hierarchical controls on the certificates and keys because it entrenches their role in providing services
- Some want to do it because it gives them a point of control to intrude into the conversation
- Others want to exploit weaknesses in the system to leverage a competitive advantage
- Some people think users prefer faster applications even if they have weaknesses
- Others think users are willing to pay a time penalty for better authentication controls

Users and Trust

- Users just want to be able to trust that the websites and services that they connect to and share their credentials, passwords and content with are truly the ones they expected to be using without first studying for a PhD in Network Operational Security
- Somehow we are missing that simple objective and have interposed complexity and adornment that have taken on a life of their own and are in fact eroding trust
- And that's bad
- If we can't trust our communications infrastructure, then we don't have a useful communications infrastructure.

What a dysfunctional mess we've created!



