Measuring Starlink Protocol Performance

Geoff Huston APNIC

From NANOG 92...

WHAT'S UP WITH STARLINK SPEEDS?

KEMAL ŠANJTA PRINCIPAL INTERNET ANALYST KEMALS@CISCO.COM MIKE HICKS PRINCIPAL SOLUTIONS ANALYST MHICKS@CISCO.COM



-

ThousandEyes

From NANOG 92...

Ν

WHAT'S UP WITH STARLINK SPEEDS?

KEMAL ŠANJTA PRINCIPAL INTERNET ANALYST KEMALS@CISCO.COM MIKE HICKS PRINCIPAL SOLUTIONS ANALYST MHICKS@CISCO.COM


CONCLUSION

Thousand Eyes

- Underlying TCP mechanisms play a significant role in Starlink performance
 - Congestion avoidance algorithm BBR shows significantly better results:
 - Between 1.4X and 18.4X difference when it comes to Download
 - Between 1.2X and 3.4X difference when it comes to Upload
 - Time to switch to BBR, as default congestion avoidance algorithm, on the major operating systems?

From NANOG 92...


WHAT'S UP WITH STARLINK SPEEDS?




Low Earth Orbit

- LEO satellites are stations between 160km and 2,000km in altitude. Starlink operates at 550km (V2 includes additional orbital shells at 525km, 530km and 535km)
- High enough to stop it slowing down by "grazing" the denser parts of the earth's ionosphere
- Not so high that it loses the radiation protection afforded by the Inner Van Allen belt.
- At a height of 550km, the minimum signal propagation delay to reach the satellite and back is
 3.7ms, at 25° elevation it's 7.5ms.








screenshot from starwatch app


Starlink is "interesting"!

- The spacecraft are very close to the Earth which means:
 - They don't need specialised high-power equipment to send and receive signals
 - Even hand-held mobile devices can send and receive signals with a LEO!
 - They can achieve very high signal speeds
 - It's an array of highly focussed signal beams
- But you need a large number of them to provide a continuous service
- The extremely high cost of launching a large constellation of LEO spacecraft has been the major problem with LEO service until recently (Which was a major reason why Motorola's Iridium service went bankrupt soon after launch)


Starlink Architecture



Tracking a LEO satellite



Looking Up




Starlink tracks satellites with a minimum elevation of 25°.

There are between 30 – 50 visible Starlink satellites at any point on the surface between latitudes 56° North and South

Each satellite traverses the visible aperture for a maximum of ~3 minutes


Starlink Scheduling

- A satellite is assigned to a user terminal in 15 second time slots
- Tracking of a satellite (by phased array focussing) works across 11 degrees of arc per satellite in each 15 second slot



Starlink Spot Beams

- Each spacecraft uses 2,000 MHz of spectrum for user downlink and splits it into 8x channels of 250 MHz each
- Each satellite has 3 downlink antennas and 1 uplink antennas, and each can do 8 beams x 2 polarizations, for a total of 48 beams down and 16 up.



"Unveiling Beamforming Strategies of Starlink LEO Satellites"

https://people.engineering.osu.edu/sites/default/files/2022-


 $10/Kassas_Unveiling_Beamforming_Strategies_of_Starlink_LEO_Satellites.pdf$

11

Starlink's Reports


\$ starlink-grpc-tools/dish_grpc_text.py -v status ut0100000-0000000-005dd555 id: hardware_version: rev3_proto2 software_version: 5a923943-5acb-4d05-ac58-dd93e72b7862.uterm.release state: CONNECTED uptime: 481674 snr: seconds_to_first_nonempty_slot: 0.0 non ning dron rate: ່າ້າ downlink_throughput_bps: 16693.330078125 uplink_throughput_bps: 109127.3984375 pop_ping_latency_ms: 49.5 Alerus bit field. Ū fraction_obstructed: 0.04149007424712181 currently_obstructed: False seconds_obstructed: obstruction_duration: 1.9579976797103882 obstruction_interval: 540.0 direction_azimuth: -42.67951583862305 direction elevation: 64.61225128173828 is_snr_above_noise_floor: True

Reported Capacity & Latency




13

Reported Capacity & Latency




Starlink Scheduling

- Latency changes on each satellite switch
- If we take the minimum latency on each 15 second scheduling interval, we can expose the effects of the switching interval on latency
- Across the 15 second interval there will be a drift in latency according to the satellite's track and the distance relative to the two earth points
- Other user traffic will also impact on latency, and also the effects of a large buffer in the user modem




Satellite handover



Satellite handover

- Packet loss occurs most frequently during handover events, and if confined to small set of packets
- This is NOT congestion-based taildrop loss - so the packet loss can be generally repaired by a TCP SACK mechanism without needing a TCP session restart




Latency

- The signal propagation latency to push a signal up and back to a Starlink spacecraft varies between 3.7ms and 7.5ms – which is an RTT of 7.4ms to 15ms
- But the minimum RTTs we see are 20ms!
- And the jitter is at an extremely high frequency (as is the change in channel capacity)

Varying SNR means varying signal modulation

- Starlink likely uses IEEE 802.11ac dynamic channel rate control, adjusting the signal modulation to match the current SNR
- This continual adjustment causes continual shift in the available capacity and imposes a varying latency on the round-trip time
- It also adds a few $\ensuremath{|}\xspace$ ms to the RTT times



So What?

- The variation in latency and capacity occurs at high frequency, which means that TCP control is going to struggle to optimize itself against a shifting target
- TCP uses **ACK pacing** which means it attempts to optimize its sending rate over multiple RTT intervals

Frames

- Starlink does NOT provide each user with a dedicated frequency band
- The system uses multiplexing to divide a channel into frames and sends 750 frames per second. Each frame is divided into 302 intervals.
- Each frame carries a header that contains satellite, channel and modulation information


Starlink Characteristics

- Varying SNR produces varying modulation, which is expressed as varying capacity and delay
- Relative motions of earth and spacecraft add to varying latency
- 15 second satellite handover generates regular loss and latency extension
- Contention for common transmission medium leads to queuing delays


In Summary...

- The profile of a Starlink connection is governed by:
 - Regular handovers at precise 15 second intervals when the connection will experience:
 - a change in base latency
 - very short-term additional queuing latency of ~80 100ms
 - a high probability of non-congestion packet loss
 - Adaptive dynamic rate control by constantly changing signal modulation
 - High frequency jitter
 - No consistent upper bound to channel capacity
 - Common channel contention with other users


How well does all this work?




TCP Flow Control Algorithms



iperf3 - cubic, 40 seconds




iperf3 - cubic, 40 seconds



27

iperf3 - bbr



Transport Protocol Considerations

- Starlink services have three issues:
 - Very high jitter rates varying signal modulation
 - High levels of micro-loss (1.4%) largely due to loss on satellite handover events (every 15 seconds)
 - Common bearer contention between users
- Loss-based flow control algorithms will over-react and pull back the sending rate over time
 - Short transactions work very well
 - Paced connections (voice, zoom, video streaming) tend to work well most of the time
- To obtain better performance you need to move to flow control algorithms that are not as loss-sensitive, such as BBR

Other considerations

- Senders should use fair queuing to pace sending rates and avoid bursting and tail drop behaviours
- **SACK** (selective acknowledgement) for TCP can help in rapid repair to multiple lost packets
- It's likely that ECN would also be really helpful to the protocol to disambiguate latency changes due to satellite behaviours and network queue buildup - i.e. only shrink the congestion window in response to ECN signals, not packet loss!

Starlink Performance

Starlink is perfectly acceptable for:

- short transactions
- video streaming
- conferencing
- The service can sustain 40 50Mbps delivery for long-held sessions during local peak use times in high density use scenarios
 - The isolated drop events generally do not intrude into the session state
- In off-peak and/or low-density contexts it can deliver 200-300Mbps
- Or, if the server uses BBR, then higher throughput is possible!
- It can be used in all kinds of places where existing wire and mobile radio systems either under-perform or aren't there at all!
- Its probably not the best trunk infrastructure service medium, but it's a really good high speed last mile direct retail access service, particularly for remote locations!

Making Starlink Faster

- Increase antennae transmitter power
- Use higher gain antennae with narrower beams
- Drop the orbital altitude to 340Km
- Drop the minimum elevation angle from 25° to 20°
- Use more bands (Ka-, V-, and E- bands)

(Proposed measures described in an October 2024 FCC application by Starlink)

